Execution of Board Performance Evaluation

	Assessment cycle (Note 1)	Assessment duration (Note 2)	Scope of assessment (Note 3)	Assessment method (Note 4)	Assessment details (Note 5)
External evaluation of 2024 performanc e for board of directors (Executed from February to April 2025)	cycle (Note 1) At least once every three years	Assessment duration	assessment		
				execute the improvement measures. For this assessment, the External Evaluator had assigned an executive panel to review board meeting and corporate governance-related information provided by the Company for 2024, and to survey and interview directors individually. The goal of this executive panel was to observe how the board functions and to raise recommendations. The External Evaluator is a non-profit academic organization consisting of	on the issues from the perspective of gender equality and social bonding and achieves outstanding results. The External Evaluator made the following recommendations regarding the operation of the board: 1. Continue to focus on the Company's potential director candidates and improvement of the directors' expertise: (1) The number of directors of each gender in the Board of Directors of the Company is advised to account for more than one-third of the whole directors. (2) The Company's Board members need to think about the digital transformation ability on the basis of information security. 2. Continue to focus on the interest and right of the Company's employees and optimize the
				experts and scholars from various fields. The organization focuses on the research and promotion of integrity, corporate governance, fraud prevention and forensic practices that conform with world standards. The executive panel possessed expertise	care measures: (1) Continue to strengthen the mental support and professional assistance for the Company's employees. (2) Propose that all of the Company's employees may participate in the family care, regardless of gender. (3) Continue to focus on and evaluate the application of AI and train the Company's employees to use new tools and engage in

	Assessment cycle (Note 1)	Assessment duration (Note 2)	Scope of assessment (Note 3)	Assessment method (Note 4)	Assessment details (Note 5)
				including accounting, law and corporate governance that were relevant to this evaluation. Furthermore, the External Evaluator and executive panel did not engage the Company in any business dealing that would compromise independence.	the human-machine collaboration. The Company has planned and taken the following improvement measures in response to the assessment report and recommendations raised by the External Evaluator: 1. Concerning the External Evaluator's suggestion that the Company should focus on the Company's potential director candidates and improvement of the directors' expertise: (1) The Company will continue to recruit talents from the industry, government and academia proactively, and consider the gender and other diversity indicators of the Board members and continue to review and adjust them to implement the Board member diversity policy and the improve the corporate governance performance. (2) The Company has provided the directors with various resources about the continuing education of information security to improve the Board of Directors' expertise in information security and help the Company continue to leverage its role dedicated to professional supervision in the process of the Company's digital transformation and development. 2. Concerning the External Evaluator's suggestion that the Company should continue to focus on the interest and right of the Company's employees and optimize the care measures: (1) The Company will self-produce its own podcast to allow professional psychologists to answer and clarify the questions raised by colleagues anonymously, so as to build the supportive work culture. (2) The Company will integrate flexible work shifts and mobile work policies to support colleagues in packing their careers at different stages of life and seeking balance between work and family care. (3) The Company will continue to focus on the potential and ethical risk of the application of AI in the talent management field, and provide employees with AI tool training and human-machine collaboration courses, in order to promote the co-evolution of new technologies and human resource development.
Internal evaluation of 2024 performanc e for board of directors and functional committees	Once a year	January 1, 2024 ~ December 31, 2024	directors and functional committees (including Audit	above performance	 Internal assessment of Board of Directors performance covered five main aspects: Level of participation in the Company's operations. Improvement of Board of Director's decision quality. Composition and structure of the board of directors. Election and ongoing education of directors. Internal control. Internal assessment of functional committees performance covered five main aspects: Level of participation in the Company's operations. Awareness of the responsibilities of functional

	Assessment cycle (Note 1)	Assessment duration (Note 2)	Scope of assessment (Note 3)	Assessment method (Note 4)	Assessment details (Note 5)
External evaluation of 2024 performan ce for Individual directors	Once a year	January 1, 2024 ~ December 31, 2024	Committee and Risk Manageme nt Committee) Individual directors (including independen t directors) who have	◆Board member self- assessment: Self-assessments are performed using assessment indicators based on information provided by the management. ◆Independent directors' review: Directors' self- assessments are reviewed by independent directors using appropriate assessment indicators.	committees. 3. Improvement of functional committee's decision quality. 4. Composition of functional committees and selection of members. 5. Internal control. In the internal performance evaluation of the Board of Directors and each functional committee of the Company in 2024, after deducting the part of the "qualitative measurement indicators" in the five major dimensions that was not applicable in 2024 (i.e., Board of Directors Indicator 25), the remaining parts were completed by self-evaluation by all directors and members of each functional committee. The "quantitative measurement indicators" were calculated by the Board of Directors' meeting unit based on the quantitative indicator data, and the achievement rate of all measurement indicators was calculated by the Board of Directors' meeting unit. In 2024, the internal performance evaluation results of the Company's Board of Directors, Audit Committee, Remuneration Committee, Corporate Governance and Nomination Committee, Corporate Governance and Nomination Committee, and Risk Management Committee all exceeded the standards and were submitted to the Company's Board of Directors for discussion and approval on January 21, 2025. Individual director performance assessment covered the following aspects: 1. Director's awareness toward the Company's goals and missions. 2. Director's awareness to duties. 3. Level of participation in the Company's operations. 4. Management and communication of internal relations. 5. Professionalism and ongoing education of directors. 6. Supervision over corporate finance and operations. 7. Supervision over corporate sustainability (CS). Individual director performance assessment should combine with internal control and risk assessment indicators. According to "Cathay Financial Holdings Co., Ltd., Director Compensation Guidelines", remuneration will not be paid to directors that fail the annual individual director performance assessment.
					The results of director performance assessment were determined to be "Pass" for all the directors in 2024.

Note1: Represents the frequency of board performance evaluation, e.g.: once a year.

Note2: Represents the duration covered by performance evaluation, e.g.: performance of the board of directors between January 1 and December 31, 2022, was assessed.

Note3: The scope of assessment covers performance of the board as a whole, the individual directors and functional committees.

Note4: Assessment methods include: board internal self-assessment, director self-assessment, peer assessment, assessment by external institution or expert, and other methods as deemed appropriate.

Note5: Assessment details, by scope of assessment, include at least the following:

- (1) Board performance assessment: board's participation in the Company's operations, the quality of board's decisions, the board's composition, election and ongoing education of board members, and enforcement of internal control.
- (2) Director individual performance assessment: director's awareness toward the Company's goals and missions, awareness to duties, level of participation in the Company's operations, maintenance of internal relations and communication, professionalism and ongoing education, and enforcement of internal control.
- (3) Performance assessment for functional committees: participation in the Company's operations, awareness to duties, quality of committee's decisions, composition and member selection, and enforcement of internal control.